**Data Key for “****(Mis-)Estimating Affective Polarization\***

**wave1pid**

1 – Strong democrat

2 – Weak democrat

3 – Independent/Some other party leans Democrat

4 – Pure Independent

5 – Independent/Some other party leans Republican

6 – Weak Republican

7 – Strong Republican

**education**

1 – Less than High school

2 – High school graduate

3 – Associates degree/2-year degree

4– Some college

4 – 4-year college degree

5 – Advanced degree

**income** (annual family household income (before taxes))

1 – <$30,000

2 – $30,000 - $69,999

3 – $70,000 - $99,999

4 – $100,000 - $200,000

5 – >$200,000

**religion**

1 – Protestant

2 – Catholic

3 – Jewish

4 – Muslim

5 – Hindu

6 – Other

7 – Not Religious

**religion\_other**

Description of Religion (if other selected)

**race**

1 – White

2 – African American

3 – Asian American

4 – Hispanic or Latino

5 – Native American

6 – Other

**race\_other**

Description of Race (if other selected)

**gender**

1 – Male

2 – Female

3 – Transgender

4 – None of the categories offered

**age**

1 – Under 18

2 – 18-24

3 – 25-34

4 – 35-50

5 – 51-65

6 – Over 65

**condition**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | No Discussion Descriptor | Rare Discussion | Occasional Discussion | Frequent Discussion |
| No Ideology Descriptor | Condition 1 | Condition 2 | Condition 3 | Condition 4 |
| Moderate Ideology | Condition 5 | Condition 6 | Condition 7 | Condition 8 |
| Extreme Ideology (Conservative/Liberal) | Condition 9 | Condition 10 | Condition 11 | Condition 12 |

**wave2pid**

1 – Strong democrat

2 – Weak democrat

3 – Independent/Some other party leans Democrat

4 – Pure Independent

5 – Independent/Some other party leans Republican

6 – Weak Republican

7 – Strong Republican

$INGROUP = Respondent’s party (for pure independents = Democrats)

$OUTGROUP = Other party than respondent’s (for pure independents = Republicans)

**feelingtherm\_outgroup**

How unfavorable (0) or favorable (100) the participant feels towards the $OUTGROUP

**feelingtherm\_ingroup**

How unfavorable (0) or favorable (100) the participant feels towards the $INGROUP

**patriotic\_outgroup**

How well “patriotic” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**intelligent\_outgroup**

How well “intelligent” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**honest\_outgroup**

How well “honest” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**openminded\_outgroup**

How well “open-minded” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**generous\_outgroup**

How well “generous” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**hypocritical\_outgroup**

How well “hypocritical” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**selfish\_outgroup**

How well “selfish” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**mean\_outgroup**

How well “mean” describes the $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**patriotic\_ingroup**

How well “patriotic” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**intelligent\_ingroup**

How well “intelligent” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**honest\_ingroup**

How well “honest” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**openminded\_ingroup**

How well “open-minded” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**generous\_ingroup**

How well “generous” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**hypocritical\_ingroup**

How well “hypocritical” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**selfish\_ingroup**

How well “selfish” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**mean\_ingroup**

How well “mean” describes the $INGROUP

1 – Not at all well

2 – Not too well

3 – Somewhat well

4 – Very well

5 – Extremely well

**trust\_outgroup**

Trust in the $OUTGROUP to do what is right for the country

1 – Almost never

2 – Once in a while

3 – About half the time

4 – Most of the time

5 – Almost always

**trust\_ingroup**

Trust in the $INGROUP to do what is right for the country

1 – Almost never

2 – Once in a while

3 – About half the time

4 – Most of the time

5 – Almost always

**friends\_outgroup**

Comfort level in having in having friends who are $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**neighbors\_outgroup**

Comfort level in having in having neighbors who are $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**marry\_outgroup**

Comfort level in having in having a son or daughter who marries an $OUTGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**friends\_ingroup**

Comfort level in having in having friends who are $INGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**neighbors\_ingroup**

Comfort level in having in having neighbors who are $INGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**marry\_ingroup**

Comfort level in having in having a son or daughter who marries an $INGROUP

1 – Not at all comfortable

2 – Not too comfortable

3 – Somewhat comfortable

4 – Extremely comfortable

**category\_outgroup (for conditions 1-12)**

Category of $OUTGROUP, participant was envisioning during survey

1 – Voters

2 – Candidates and elected officials

3 – Both

4 – Not sure

**category\_ingroup (for conditions 1-12)**

Category of $INGROUP, participant was envisioning during survey

**ideology\_outparty (asked in condition 13)**

1 – Liberal $OUTGROUP

2 – Moderate $OUTGROUP

3 – Conservative $OUTGROUP

**ideology\_party (asked in condition 13)**

Ideology that typically describes $PARTY

1 – Liberal $INGROUP

2 – Moderate $INGROUP

3 – Conservative $INGROUP

**talk\_freq\_outparty (asked in condition 13)**

How frequently $OUTGROUP talks about politics

1 – Rarely

2 – Occasionally

3 – Frequently

**talk\_freq\_party (asked in condition 13)**

How frequently $INGROUP talks about politics

1 – Rarely

2 – Occasionally

3 – Frequently

**category\_outparty (for condition 13)**

Category of $OUTGROUP, participant was envisioning during survey

1 – Voters

2 – Candidates and elected officials

3 – Both

4 – Not sure

**category\_party (for condition 13)**

Category of $INGROUP, participant was envisioning during survey

1 – Voters

2 – Candidates and elected officials

3 – Both

4 – Not sure

**wave3pid**

1 – Strong democrat

2 – Weak democrat

3 – Independent/Some other party leans Democrat

4 – Pure Independent

5 – Independent/Some other party leans Republican

6 – Weak Republican

7 – Strong Republican

**political\_descriptorwave3**

1 – Liberal $PARTY

2 – Moderate $PARTY

3 – Conservative $PARTY

**talk\_freqwave3**

1 – Rarely

2 – Occasionally

3 – Frequently

**inwave2, inwave3** = respondent in given wave.